



Workpackage: WP1. Coordination
 Deliverable: Deliverable D1.4, Minutes final meeting
 Date: 14 October 2015
 Partner responsible: Partner 1 (DLO)

Minutes meeting EU project Q-collect **7 September 2015, Rome, Italy**

Coordination and Collaboration between reference collections of plant pests and diseases for EU Plant Health Policy

Monday 7 September, 2015

Agricultural Research Council, Plant Pathology Research Center (CRA-PAV)

Present:

Partner 1 DLO	Peter Bonants
Partner 2 Fera	John Elphinstone
Partner 3 ILVO	Martine Maes
Partner 4 UGhent – BCCM/LMG	Paul de Vos, Frédérique Van Hauwenhuyse and Ilse Cleenwerck
Partner 6 KNAW-CBS	Vincent Robert
Partner 7 ACW	Sebastian Kiewnick
Partner 8 Anses	Pascal Gentit
Partner 9 INRA	Perrine Portier and Jean-Claude Streito
Partner 12 AGES	Sylvia Blümel
Partner 13 EPPO	Françoise Petter and Baldissera Giovani

Apologies:

Partner 5 UNIBO	Assunta Bertaccini and Nicoletta Contaldo
Partner 10 Naturalis	Leni Duistermaat
Partner 11 DSMZ	Wulf Menzel
Partner 14 FGU VNIIR	Konstantin Kornev
Partner 15 JKI	Johannes Hallmann
Partner 16 NVWA	Mariette Edema, Edu Boer and Marianne van der Blom
EU commission	Juli Mylona

Welcome Q-collect partners

Peter Bonants (DLO) welcomed all Q-collect partners present

Progress of Work Packages, Deliverables

Each WP or Dpt WP leader described shortly progress and status of deliverables

WP1 Coordination (Peter Bonants, DLO)

D1.1, D1.2 and D1.3 delivered

D1.4 Minutes final Meeting (this report)

WP2 Inventory (Jean-Claude Streito, INRA / Francoise Petter, EPPO)

D2.1 delivered

D2.2 List and descriptions of relevant collections Rejected

Reason: This deliverable was rejected because you have extended the work to the second period, this way you can include the extra data collected during the second period and the content will be representing the latest state of art.

D2.2 List and descriptions of relevant collections

D2.3 Inventory of gaps

These two deliverables can now be combined as new D2.2 and include the gaps.

D2.4 Strategy/Guidelines/Recommendations

The recommendations of the workshop and the White paper can be used for this deliverable.

Detailed guidelines are those prepared in WP 3 and 5. Will be therefore prepared after the Rome workshop

WP3 Quality Standards (John Elphinstone, Fera / Marian van der Blom, NVWA)

D3.1 Report of status quo of quality standards Rejected

Reason: This deliverable were rejected because you have extended the work to the second period, this way you can include the extra data collected during the second period and the content will be representing the latest state of art.

Status quo did not change due to re-opening of the questionnaire. Will be resubmitted with this extra remark.

D3.2 and D3.3 delivered

D3.4 Final version of harmonized guidelines

Will be prepared after the Rome workshop

WP4 Access (Perrine Portier, INRA / Pascal Gentit, ANSES)

D4.1, D4.2 and D4.3 delivered

D4.4 delivered together with D6.2

D4.5 Datasheet and recommendations for info-portal and website and tools

Will be prepared after the Rome workshop

WP5 Info-portal (Vincent Robert, KNAW-CBS / Francoise Petter, EPPO)

D5.1 delivered

D5.2 Concept plan of the general infrastructure for a possible integrated database

There was a lot of discussion on this because Vincent circulated a huge document with very ambitious plans. It was decided that Vincent should make a realistic view of a portal for Q-collect. He will produce a web-based form which can be filled in by collections

D5.3 Final plan of the general infrastructure for a possible integrated database

D5.4 Information in a repository (info-portal)

Will be prepared after the Rome workshop

WP6 Design and development of a sustainable European network of collections of plant health quarantine organisms (Sylvia Blümel, AGES / Paul de Vos, UGent)

D6.1 delivered

D6.2 delivered together with D4.4

D6.3 Process description/ flow chart application defined criteria

Will be finalised after the Rome workshop

WP7 Dissemination (Francoise Petter, EPPO / Peter Bonants, DLO)

D7.1, D7.2 and D7.3 delivered

D7.4 Draft standards

D3.4 are the draft quality standards which will be send to the different EPPO panels and will be discussed there in Oct 2015, Nov 2015 and March 2016

D7.5 Workshop 2 report

Will be prepared after the Rome workshop

Discussion on View Q-collect consortium on White Paper

Small amendments were made and were included in the white paper to be discussed at the workshop

Project Finances

Second payments were made.

For the second period (1 oct 2014-1 oct 2015) again Form C needs to be filled in by all partners.

Reporting period 2 and Final Report

Two reports need to be made: 2nd period and final report

Peter suggest to make it short and simple.

For the 2nd period only mention what has been done in the 2nd period. Don't repeat what has been done in period 1.

For the final report make a summary of what has been done; describe short the deliverables and what has been achieved.

Peter will send formats for both reports.

Closing of meeting

Peter thanked all for being present and closed the meeting.

During the Workshop it was agreed that short papers should be prepared to be published in the EPPO bulletin on the outcomes of the project for each work package. The articles should be provided to the EPPO Managing Editor in early December.